

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
AT CHATTANOOGA

TERESA L. PATTERSON,	)	
Plaintiff	)	
	)	
v.	)	1:13-cv-72
	)	
CAROLYN W. COLVIN,	)	Collier/Carter
Commissioner of Social Security	)	
Defendant	)	

**MODIFIED REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

**I. Introduction**

Plaintiff's motion for attorney's fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. §2412(d) (Doc. 18), is before the undersigned having been referred by the District Court for a report and recommendation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

**II. Discussion**

In order to recover attorney's fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. §2412(d)(1)(A-D), four conditions must be met:

- (1) The plaintiff must be a prevailing party;
- (2) The application for attorney's fees, including an itemized justification for the amount requested, must be filed within 30 days of the final judgment in the action;
- (3) No special circumstances warranting denial of fees may exist; and
- (4) The government's position must be without substantial justification.

28 U.S.C. §2412(d)(1)(A-D); *see also, Damron v. Commissioner of Social Security*, 104 F.3d 853, 855 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1997).

In the instant case, the Plaintiff sought attorney's fees and costs in the amount of \$4,400.95, however, the parties entered into a Stipulation to Award EAJA Fees in the amount of \$6,281.56 (Doc. 23) thereby conceding the ALJ's decision was not substantially justified. Having reviewed the record, the plaintiff's itemized billing and Affidavits, the government's response, and the Stipulation, the undersigned finds plaintiff has met all requirements to receive EAJA fees.

### III. Conclusion

Accordingly, it is **RECOMMENDED** plaintiff's motion for attorney's fees (Doc. 21) under the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. §2412(d), be **GRANTED IN PART**.<sup>1</sup> It is further **RECOMMENDED** that plaintiff be awarded \$5,931.56 in attorney's fees and \$350.00 in filing fee costs under the EAJA for a total amount of \$6,281.56 as stipulated by the parties.

The previously filed Report and Recommendation (Doc. 24) is hereby **WITHDRAWN**.

S / William B. Mitchell Carter

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

---

<sup>1</sup>Any objections to this Report and Recommendation must be served and filed within fourteen (14) days after service of a copy of this recommended disposition on the objecting party. Such objections must conform to the requirements of Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Failure to file objections within the time specified waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 88 L.Ed.2d 435, 106 S.Ct. 466 (1985). The district court need not provide *de novo* review where objections to this report and recommendation are frivolous, conclusive or general. *Mira v. Marshall*, 806 F.2d 636 (6th Cir. 1986). Only specific objections are reserved for appellate review. *Smith v. Detroit Federation of Teachers*, 829 F.2d 1370 (6th Cir. 1987).